Subject: Chat with Mirna Hariz
From: Mirna Hariz <mirnahariz@gmail.com>
To: barriticus@gmail.com

11:33 AM **Mirna**: this letter makes less sense now
We request that you link to the refutation he has posted from the article and do so from the post from which the above passage is taken
isnt that redundant?
me: no
Mirna: from the article
me: here
Mirna: and from the post
refutation to the article he has posted an to do so from he post . . .
11:34 AM **me**: oh, yeah, take out "from the article"
and should be "and that you do so"
11:35 AM rather than "do so"
Mirna: rewrite the sentance for me
i'm hungover
me: ok
me too lol
Mirna: send me his email addy too
gonna send this shit
11:36 AM it is also damaging to a number of other projects as well as his continued ability to earn
i dont think we need that
that is something we will bring up in court if we sue
11:37 AM we just need to link hastings to your professional life for now
pm and the blurb do that
me: ok, sending you version with those two changes
Mirna: You have published lies which you've claimed to be fact rather than unambiguously labeled as opinion that, if taken seriously, substantially damage the financial interests and professional reputation of my client.
11:38 AM also legally that is not right
11:39 AM oh ok
i read it again
whats his email
11:40 AM We request that you link to the refutation he has posted and to do so from the post from which the above passage is taken, as well as publish the entirety of that refutation in an additional post at your blog
me: I just sent you tweaked version
11:41 AM which fixes that last part you just mentioned as well

11:42 AM **Mirna**: email addy
me: r.s.mccain@att.net
go get 'em, tigre
11:43 AM **Mirna**: Your post falsely insinuating that Brown is fraudulently representing himself and his professional relationships is especially damaging as Michael Hastings wrote the blurb for Brown's upcoming book and Brown's relationship with certain figures as well as his reputation is integral to the development of Project PM, Brown's distributed think-tank
certain figures
does not help us
unless its certain figures such as hastings
. . .
can i add such as hastings
me: it doesn't hurt us
okay, do thaat
Mirna: k
11:44 AM **me**: I just just to not have it read as it did previously in case he runs it, lot of ins and outs here
Mirna: such as hastings does not sound bad
just sounds like you talked about project pm with him
which you did
and that his interest is helping other people get on board
which it is
me: yeah, add it
11:46 AM **Mirna**: a couple sentances dont make sense to me
you are SURE this is grammatically correct
at least two in here sound wrong to me
me: which sentences?
Mirna: 1 You have published lies which you've claimed to be fact rather than unambiguously labeled as opinion that, if taken seriously, substantially damage the financial interests and professional reputation of my client.
2 We request that you link to the refutation he has posted and that you do so from the post from which the above passage is taken, as well as that you publish the entirety of that refutation in an additional pos
me: first one is definitely grammatical
Mirna: the first one is awkward
11:47 AM the second, as well as that you publish
sounds weird
and also that you publish?
me: yes, and also that you publish
11:48 AM nothing wrong with that
change first one to this

11:49 AM You have written and published lies which you've claimed to be fact that, if taken seriously, substantially damage the financial interests and professional reputation of my client.

11:51 AM **Mirna**: sent.

11:52 AM **me**: looks good

13 minutes

12:05 PM **Mirna**: <3

12:10 PM **me**: TUV

12:11 PM **Mirna**: TUV YOU TOO